

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,190	08/31/2004	Jaakko Itavaara	005288.00171	5189
22907 BANNER & W	7590 06/29/2007 /ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STR		·	TRAN, MYLINH T	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2179	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/711,190	ITAVAARA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mylinh Tran	2179			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au	<u>-</u>				
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Infor	tt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 09/27/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,190

Art Unit: 2179

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,832,353. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both teach the features of "mapping each of the plurality of sections of the web page to at least one button of a plurality of buttons on the keypad, detecting that a user of the small-screen device has selected one of the plurality of buttons on the keypad and in response to the above step, displaying on the display the section of the web page mapped to the selected button".

Application/Control Number: 10/711,190

Art Unit: 2179

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gazdzinski [US. 6,988,071].

As to claims 1 and 11, Gazdzinski teaches mapping each of the plurality of sections of the web page to at least one button of a plurality of buttons on the keypad (figures 2, 6a), based at least in part on a position of each section within the web page as compared to the position of the at least one button to which it is mapped within the keypad (column 9, lines 43-67); detecting that a user of the small-screen device has selected one of the plurality of buttons on the keypad (figures 6a-6b); in response to step (ii), displaying on the display the section of the web page mapped to the selected button (column 9, line 42 through column 10, line 7).

As to claims 3 and 13, Gazdzinski teaches each section corresponding to a frame within the web page (figure 6b).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,190

Art Unit: 2179

As to claims 4 and 14, Gazdzinski also teaches one of the sections corresponding to a table within the web page (column 9, lines 43-65).

As to claims 5 and 15, Gazdzinski teaches one of the sections being mapped to at least two buttons on the keypad (figure 6a).

As to claims 6 and 16, Gazdzinski teaches identifying a predetermined number of subsections of the one section, the predetermined number of subsections corresponding to the number of buttons to which the one section is mapped; and mapping each of the plurality of subsections of the one section to one of the buttons to which the one section is mapped, based at least in part on a position of each subsection within the one section as compared to the position of the buttons to which the one section is mapped, and wherein step (iii) comprises displaying on the display the subsection of the web page mapped to the selected button (column 9, line 37 through column 10, line 22).

As to claims 7 and 17, Gazdzinski also teaches displaying an icon indicating which section of the web page is presently displayed (column 9, lines 66-67).

As to claims 8 and 18, Gazdzinski teaches the icon comprising a sectional representation of the web page (column 9, lines 66-67).

As to claims 9 and 19, Gazdzinski also teaches displaying a mapping screen indicating to the user of the small-screen device the mappings between sections and buttons (figure 6a).

As to claims 10 and 20, Gazdzinski teaches displaying an icon indicating which section of the web page is presently displayed, and wherein the icon

Art Unit: 2179

comprises a miniature representation of the mapping screen (column 9, line 36 through column 10, line 22).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gazdzinski [US. 6,988,071] in view of Hawkins et al. [US. 6,516,202].

Art Unit: 2179

As to claims 2 and 12, Gazdzinski fails to clearly teach the keypad comprising a 12-key telephone keypad. However, in the same field of the invention, the claimed limitation is disclosed by Hawkins et al. at figure 8a, column 2, lines 44-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Hawkins's of teaching of comprising a 12-key telephone keypad with the teaching of Gazdzinski.

Motivation of the combination would have been for the advantage of reliability, simplicity of an input device.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Application/Control Number: 10/711,190 Page 7

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER